

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/609,325	06/30/2000	LIVIA POLANYI	106142	1764
25944 75	590 10/08/2003		EXAMI	NER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EDOUARD, PATRICK NESTOR	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2654	7
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/609,325

Applicant(s)

**POLANYI ET AL** 

# Office Action Summary

Examiner

Patrick N.Edouard

Art Unit **2654** 



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing date of this communication.						
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 19,	2003					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers						
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) 🗆 accepted	or b)	$\sqsupset$ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	d in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 a	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u> </u>		0-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	rmai Paten	t Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:		·			

Application/Control Number: 09/609,325 Page 2

Art Unit: 2654

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the steps of segmenting ... and analyzing each text, does not reasonably provide enablement for the step of "selecting a theory of discourse analysis for teaching writing." The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The specification does not describe the step of "selecting a theory of discourse analysis". The specification describes on page 6, line 21 different linguistics theories build discourse from different basic text building units. In various embodiments, the linguistic Discourse Model is used to analyze the text and categorize the text building unit"; on page 7, lines 15, various examplary...discourse analysis.". However, the specification does not describe any selection process. Also, the claim is vague and indefinite because the limitation "selecting a theory of discourse analysis for teaching writing" does not set the metes and bounds of the claims. It is also

Application/Control Number: 09/609,325 Page 3

Art Unit: 2654

unclear from what the selection process is taken place since the claim is silent about, for instance a plurality of discourse theories.

## **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the step of "selecting a theory of discourse analysis for teaching writing" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/609,325

Art Unit: 2654

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 8-9 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 5. Cortson et al (6,112,168).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 4-6, 10-11, 16-18, 20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 7. unpatentable over Cortson in view of Takeshita et al (5,642,520) as set forth in prior Office Action.
- 8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry) Or:

Application/Control Number: 09/609,325

Art Unit: 2654

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or

"DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Patrick N. Edouard whose telephone number is (703) 308-6725. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 07:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Richemond Dorvil, can be reached on (703) 305-9645.

The facsimile phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 305-9508. Alternatively, facsimile messages

may be sent directly to (703) 305-9644 where they will be stored in the examiner's voice mailbox

(telling the examiner that a fax was received) and be automatically printed (i.e. - no delay by the

examiner).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Patrick N. Edouard

September 30, 2003

Page 5